

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,563	01/03/2002		Robert J. Ripich	1276-N	7118
45069	7590	05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
FRED ZOL		III	BAXTER, JESSICA R		
P.O. BOX 2368 NORTH CANTON, OH 44720		H 44720		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3731	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/038,563	RIPICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica R Baxter	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-20 and 22-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-19,22-25,27-29 and 31 is/are rejection and 50 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/038,563

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 17-19, 23-25 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,916,228 to Ripich et al.

Ripich discloses a tongue cleaning device comprising a body having a handle (21) and a head; the head having scraping wall defining a working edge (31,41)e adapted to be moved over the tongue; the scraping wall having a front surface facing in the direction of the handle body; the head of the body defining a debris retention recess (space between edges 31 and 41) adjacent the working edge adapted to collect debris as it is removed from the tongue by the working edge; wherein the debris retention recess is a curved depression defined in the front surface of the scraping wall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 22, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ripich et al. '228 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,624,259 to Heath et al.

Ripich discloses the claimed invention except for the body being fabricated from titanium. Ripich teaches that the device is may be made out of metal. Heath teaches that titanium is used in dental tools since it provides a high degree of flexibility and wear resistance and thus function properly for extended periods of time (Column 3 line 37-Column 4 line 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Ripich out of a titanium in order to provide the device with superior wear resistance and higher flexibility.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 20, 26 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Ripich et al. '228 does not disclose a debris-retention recess. Although Ripich does not disclose that the gap is specifically for retaining debris, the space may retain debris. As the scraper is moved over the tongue, the front surfaces on the scraping walls will retain the debris that is removed from the tongue. There is no structural difference between what is claimed and what is disclosed by Ripich et al. '228. Therefore, the rejection over Ripich et al. '228 is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,563

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant argues that the recess disclosed by Ripich et al. '228 is not defined by a a single scraping wall. However, claim 28 does not call for one and only one scraping wall. Therefore, the rejection over Ripich et al. '228 is proper.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica R Baxter whose telephone number is 571-272-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica R Baxter Examiner Art Unit 3731

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT, EXAMINER

Just jrb